

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 \* \* \*

7 Case No. 2:21-cv-00075-RFB-VCF

8 GERARDO PEREZ,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 vs.

11 JENNIFER NASH, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO RULE 4(m)  
OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL  
PROCEDURE**

13  
14 Plaintiff has failed to show good cause why this action should not be dismissed without  
15 prejudice for failure to timely serve Defendants E. Barrajas, Neil, M. Hagmann, Malcom, and  
16 Perez, according to the requirements of Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

17 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice as to  
18 Defendants E. Barrajas, Neil, M. Hagmann, Malcom, and Perez.

19  
20 DATED this 3rd day of May, 2023

21  
22 

23 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
24 **United States District Judge**  
25  
26  
27  
28